JOURNAL OF POLITICAL DISCOURSE
ISSN Print: 2992-2763 – ISSN Online: 2992-4618 | Vol. 3, Issue 1, No. 2 / March 2025
https://www.jopd.com.ng

MARITIME DRUG TRAFFICKING ON UNITED STATES OF AMERICA COASTS: CHALLENGES IN DETECTING AND INTERCEPTING NARCOTICS

Ayoola Babatunde Fadola
Washington College of Law, American University
ayoolafadola@gmail.com, af3980a@american.edu

Abstract
Maritime drug trafficking poses a significant threat to global security, public health, and economic stability, leveraging vast oceanic spaces and complex international trade networks to smuggle large quantities of narcotics. This article explores the concept of maritime drug trafficking and its intersection with the broader narcotics trade, emphasizing the challenges in detection and interception. Key obstacles include the sheer size of maritime domains, advanced smuggling techniques, and jurisdictional complexities. The discussion is framed within criminological theory such as Routine Activity Theory, offering insights into traffickers' strategies and enforcement limitations. The scope and scale of maritime drug trafficking highlight its far-reaching consequences, from financing organized crime to destabilizing transit regions and burdening public health systems. Despite advancements in surveillance technologies and international collaborations, traffickers continue to exploit gaps in enforcement. Recommendations include enhancing technological capabilities, strengthening international cooperation, improving port and container security, addressing socio-economic drivers of the narcotics trade, and reducing global demand for illegal drugs. This article underscores the importance of a multi-faceted and adaptive approach to combat maritime drug trafficking effectively, integrating law enforcement, socio-economic interventions, and global partnerships to address this transnational challenge.
Keywords: Maritime, Drug trafficking, Detecting and intercepting, Narcotics

Introduction

Maritime drug trafficking poses a significant threat to U.S. national security and public safety, as smugglers exploit the vastness of the oceans and the intricate networks of global trade routes to transport narcotics. With thousands of miles of coastline stretching along the Pacific, Atlantic, and Gulf of Mexico, the United States faces unique challenges in monitoring and securing its maritime borders. Drug cartels and transnational criminal organizations (TCOs) view maritime routes as lucrative and less detectable alternatives to land crossings, moving large quantities of cocaine, methamphetamine, heroin, and synthetic drugs into the country.

The competition between law enforcement and traffickers is most apparent in the maritime space known as the “transit zone” through which traffickers move as much as 80 percent of all the cocaine leaving Colombia (Border Security Threats to the Homeland, 2012). Traffickers use a wide variety of mostly maritime means to move drugs through this area and avoid detection and interdiction by law enforcement. Two decades after the discovery of the Facatativá submarine, submarines and semi-submersible narco-submarines are still a preferred method for moving cocaine because they are so difficult for law enforcement to detect (Kyle Mizokami, 2020). They move hundreds of tons of cocaine from the shores of Colombia and Ecuador to Central America and the Caribbean, stopping briefly to shift modes of transportation before moving on to the United States or directly to Europe (BBC News, 2006). Narco-submarines were and are a particularly effective adaptation to increasingly effective air and maritime interdiction by law enforcement, supported by the U.S. armed forces in the 1980s and early 1990s.

The scale of the problem is staggering. According to recent reports, billions of dollars' worth of narcotics is smuggled annually via the sea, with traffickers relying on advanced tactics and technology to evade detection. High-speed boats, semi-submersible vessels, and even drones are increasingly used to outmaneuver law enforcement agencies. Furthermore, the sheer volume of legitimate maritime trade complicates efforts to identify and intercept illicit shipments hidden within containerized cargo. These challenges underscore the need for robust strategies and coordinated efforts to combat maritime drug trafficking effectively.Narco-submarines are an example of innovation by traffickers under pressure from law enforcement, and a response to military pressure specifically. Traffickers and law enforcement are in a continuous cycle of competitive adaptation whereby each side adapts in response to the other's actions—the inevitability of this back-and-forth making it possible to identify potential future developments in trafficking (Michael Kenney, 2007).

The Manufacturing and distribution law enforcement agency (MDLEA) is a criminal statute prohibiting an individual from knowingly or intentionally "manufacturing or distributing, or possessing with intent to manufacture or distribute, a controlled substance" aboard a "covered vessel." (USC, 1980) This prohibition also includes attempts and conspiracies to violate the MDLEA. The definition of "covered vessel" is comprehensive, including, inter alia, "vessels subject to the jurisdiction of the United States," (USC, 1980) thereby extending the United States' jurisdiction as far as international law permits. Such vessels include "vessels without nationality," vessels "assimilated to . . . being without nationality," vessels "registered in a foreign nation if that nation has consented or waived objection to the enforcement of United States law," and "vessels in the territorial waters of a foreign nation if the nation consents to the enforcement of United States law." Finally, the MDLEA expressly prohibits acts of drug trafficking committed extraterritorially, thereby explicitly extending the MDLEA's reach beyond the territorial jurisdiction of the United States and into both international waters and foreign territorial seas (BBC News, 2000).

This article aims to delve into the complexities of detecting and intercepting narcotics along U.S. coasts. By examining the methods employed by smugglers, the technological and logistical hurdles faced by authorities, and the innovative approaches being implemented, we can better understand the evolving landscape of maritime drug trafficking. In doing so, it becomes clear that addressing this issue requires not only advanced technology and greater resources but also international collaboration and a holistic approach to reducing demand and disrupting supply chains.

Conceptual Clarification

Maritime drug Trafficking

According to USAID (2013) maritime drug trafficking refers to the illegal transportation and distribution of illicit drugs via sea routes. It is a subset of global drug trafficking, specifically focusing on the exploitation of maritime spaces, including oceans, seas, rivers, and ports, for the smuggling of drugs. This form of trafficking is particularly challenging due to the vastness of international waters, the high volume of global maritime trade, and the difficulties law enforcement faces in monitoring and securing such expansive areas. USAID (2013) Maritime drug trade, like any other type of transnational organized crime, portends danger to political and socio-economic development, fosters corruption, and violence, undermines the rule of law and good governance, and poses serious health challenges. Drug trafficking is an outlawed trade, where drugs under legal prohibition are made available for general consumption.

This form of trafficking not only fuels the global drug trade but also contributes to broader issues such as money laundering, violence, and environmental degradation in coastal communities. As a result, understanding the mechanisms and impacts of maritime drug trafficking is critical for law enforcement, policymakers, and international organizations working to disrupt these operations and safeguard maritime security.

Narcotics

Narcotics are a category of substances that affect the central nervous system, often used for pain relief, sedation, or euphoric effects. Traditionally, the term "narcotics" referred specifically to opiates or opioids derived from the opium poppy, such as morphine and heroin. However, in contemporary usage, the term has expanded to include a broader range of controlled substances that are regulated or illegal due to their high potential for abuse, addiction, and adverse health effects. Narcotics play a dual role in society, serving legitimate medical purposes while simultaneously fueling illicit markets and addiction crises when misused.

Legally, narcotics are classified based on their medical utility and abuse potential, as defined by regulatory frameworks like the U.S. Controlled Substances Act. Drugs such as fentanyl, oxycodone, and methadone are examples of prescription narcotics used in healthcare settings for severe pain management. However, their misuse, often leading to dependency, has contributed significantly to the global opioid epidemic. Illicit narcotics, such as heroin, cocaine, and synthetic drugs like methamphetamine, dominate black markets and are often linked to organized crime and transnational smuggling operations (US Controlled Substance Act, 2005).

Narcotics have a profound impact on individuals and society. On a personal level, they can cause addiction, mental and physical health deterioration, and, in many cases, overdose and death. On a societal scale, the production, distribution, and consumption of narcotics drive criminal activity, destabilize communities, and burden public health systems. Furthermore, the lucrative nature of the narcotics trade incentivizes violence among trafficking organizations, often spilling over into civilian populations.

Theoretical Framework

Routine Activity Theory

Routine activity theory begins by stating the importance of understanding the spatial component of crime patterns put forth by the Chicago School. We frequent particular places and not others and visit those particular places at particular times. When we travel to the same place at the same time regularly, these activities can be considered “routine activities”, defined by Cohen and Felson (1979, p. 593) as “any recurrent and prevalent activities which provide for basic population and individual needs, whatever their biological or cultural origins”. Because these activities will normally take us out of the relatively protectiveenvironment of the home if we alter the frequency and/or timing of these routine activities, we will alter our risk of criminal victimization; if these routine activities change *en masse* at a societal level, we will alter crime rate trends.

The Routine Activity Theory can be effectively applied to explain the persistence of maritime drug trafficking in the USA. According to the theory, crime occurs when three key elements converge: a motivated offender, a suitable target, and the absence of capable guardians. In the context of maritime drug trafficking, motivated offenders include transnational drug cartels and local traffickers, driven by the lucrative profits from the illegal drug trade. The U.S strategic location along major international shipping routes provides traffickers with suitable targets such as under-patrolled coastal areas, remote creeks, and vast stretches of

unmonitored waters. The high volume of cargo and fishing vessels makes it easier for traffickers to conceal drugs among legitimate goods (Ayoola, 2024).Increasing the presence of Coast Guard and naval patrols, particularly in high-risk areas, acts as a visible deterrent to traffickers. Furthermore, fostering international cooperation ensures a more comprehensive enforcement framework, reducing safe havens for smugglers in regions with weaker maritime security.

At the same time, targeting the factors that make maritime routes suitable for trafficking, such as remote coastlines and unregulated ports, can also reduce opportunities for offenders. Investments in port security, routine inspections of cargo vessels, and the use of predictive analytics to identify suspicious shipping patterns can make maritime drug smuggling significantly less viable. By addressing the environmental conditions and guardianship gaps, Routine Activity Theory offers a strategic lens for curbing the maritime narcotics trade.

Overview of Maritime Drug Trafficking

The transport of narcotic drugs by sea is not new or a recent trend. It dates back to antiquity. History is full of anecdotes about the drug trade. Relative to the other forms of modem transport such as motor vehicles, railroads, airplanes and post the maritime medium is the oldest. Vessels of one form or another have been utilized for several thousand years. Only the use of animals and mankind's own bodily form of locomotion predate the maritime sector. What is new is the diversification in methods of conveyance and concealment utilized and the categories of drugs trafficked within the maritime medium. There is an entirely new complexity to the trafficking scene. Primarily, this is the result of modem technological, industrial, legislative and administrative developments interacting with economic motives. Vis-a-vis the historical context these dynamic changes and their continual propagation in society today may be simply summed up in the phrase "the world has changed". This reality applies to all facets of life and activity inclusive of the drug trade. Commensurate with the changes and structuralization of life and activity an inverse relationship of progressively stronger anti-drug efforts contraposed by increasingly illicit and furtive drug-related activities has materialized. The result is that less is known about these underground economic enterprises and enforcement is complicated (Bjorn, 1989).

Maritime drug trafficking is one of the most significant and complex challenges in global efforts to combat the illicit drug trade. It involves the transportation of large quantities of narcotics via oceans, seas, and waterways, leveraging the vastness of the maritime domain to evade detection. This method is particularly attractive to traffickers because it allows for the movement of substantial volumes of drugs in a single shipment, far exceeding what is possible through land or air routes. Maritime trafficking serves as a critical link in the supply chain of global drug networks, connecting production regions, such as South America and Southeast Asia, with major consumer markets in North America, Europe, and beyond.

The significance of the maritime component of drug trafficking has not been lost. The United Nations through its specialized agency, the Division of Narcotic Drugs, has gathered considerable information on the maritime drug trade for years (UN, 1987). It maintains a Commission on Narcotic Drugs which in turn is subdivided into units of special interest, one of which is the Expert Group on Countermeasures to Drug Smuggling by Air and Sea (hereinafter referred to simply as the Air & Sea Group). They convene regularly to discuss developments and issues of a direct bearing and draft proposed countermeasures. The results are published as agency reports.

Scope and Scale of the Issue

The scope and scale of maritime drug trafficking are immense, reflecting the global demand for illicit substances and the strategic advantages of maritime routes for traffickers. The United States, with its extensive coastlines along the Atlantic, Pacific, and Gulf of Mexico, is particularly vulnerable, serving as both a primary destination and transit point for narcotics smuggled by sea. Billions of dollars' worth of drugs is transported annually via maritime routes, with cocaine, methamphetamine, heroin, and synthetic drugs like fentanyl constituting the bulk of these illicit shipments. The vastness of the oceans and the high volume of legitimate maritime trade make it exceptionally challenging to detect and intercept these operations.

The problem is not confined to the U.S. alone; it has far-reaching implications for global security and stability. Regions like the Caribbean and Central America serve as key transit zones, where criminal organizations operate with impunity, often due to weak governance and corruption. The trafficking of narcotics not only finances violent cartels but also destabilizes nations, contributes to rising crime rates, and perpetuates cycles of poverty and exploitation in source and transit countries. For instance, cannabis and their sources.

Cannabis

Cannabis is a hardy plant found globally in the warmer climatic regions of land areas. The latitudinal range extends from the tropics to the higher latitudinal boundaries of the temperate zone (in both hemispheres). At least 27 countries figure significantly in the production of cannabis and contribute to the international trade in the drug. Several more have been identified as sources of cultivation but are not considered here because either all cannabis produced is consumed domestically or their contribution to the trade is negligible or their cultivation of the drug falls within the definition of 1 experimental (RCMP, 1985). Table 1.1 lists the states which have been linked to the international trafficking of cannabis and, where available, the data on production.

The seven principals of the first order are Colombia, Thailand, Mexico, the U.S.A., the Philippines, Paraguay, and Jamaica. Colombia, Thailand and Mexico vie for the position of largest producer of cannabis in the world. For the four-year period 1984 - 1987 Colombia produced about 17,630 m.ts. while Mexico produced 16,670 m.ts. The foothills and slopes of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta and Serrania de Peri ja mountain ranges in the northeastern Departments of Magdalena, Cesar and La Guajira are the principal cultivation areas in Colombia (RCMP, 1985). Other sites of lesser cultivation include the Serrania de San Lucas mountain range in the Department of Bolivar and the mountainous and jungle areas of Antioquia, Choco, Cordoba, Llanos, Santander, Sucre etc

Table 1.1: Showing Average Production Per Annum in Metric

AVERAGE PRODUCTION PER ANNUM IN METRIC TONS					
	Country	1984	1985	1986	Cannabis Type(s)
1st Order	COLOMBIA	5,750	3,300	3,080	Marijuana
	THAILAND	N/A	N/A	4,000	Marijuana/Sinsemilla
	MEXIC0	2,750	3,500	5,000	Marijuana
	U.S.A.	1,700	3,250	4,413	Marijuana/Sinsemilla
	PHILIPPINES	N/A	1,960	3,164	Marijuana B
	PARAGUAY	2,250	2,250	2,250	juana/Sinsemilla/Hashish
	JAMAICA	1,875	953	1,755	Marijuana/Sinsemilla/Hashish
2nd Order	KENYA	N/A	1,000	N/A	Marijuana
	BELIZE	1,100	645	550	Marijuana
	LBBANON	300	720	900	Hashish

	SOUTH AFRICA	N/A	305.7	622.3	Marijuana
	MOROCCO	345	345	341	Hashish/Marijuana
	AFGHANISTAN	300	300	300	Hashish
	PAKISTAN	200	200	200	Hashish
	GUATBMALA	N/A	N/A	275	Marijuana
	INDONESIA	No Data Available but Deemed High			Marijuana
	BRAZIL	No Data Available But Deemed High			Marijuana
3rd Order	VENEZUELA	N/A	80	N/A	Marijuana
	COSTA RICA	N/A	110	90	Marijuana
	PANAMA	N/A	818.2	30	Marijuana
	MAURITIUS	N/A	N/A	75	Marijuana
	R P.N.G.	N/A	40	N/A	Marijuana
	GHANA	N/A	5.5	N/A	Marijuana
	NIGERIA	No Data Available but Deemed Low			Marijuana
	INDIA	No Data Available but Deemed Low			Marijuana/Hashish
	LAOS	No Data- Available but Deemed Low			Marijuana
	NEPAL	No Data Available but Deemed Low			Hashish

Sources: All data extracted from the following publications: BINM, INCSR - March 1987 (Washington D.C,); NNICC, WIB 1984 (Washington. D.C., 1985); and, UN Docs. E/CN.7/1987/CRP.6 ft B/CN.7/1988/CRP.8

Roughly 63% of the annual amount produced is exported (UN Doc, 1987). On the other hand, every state in the Mexican Republic has some degree of marijuana cultivation occurring within them. The United States, like Mexico, possesses the status of having cannabis cultivation in all 50 states. In the period 1985 - 1987 approximately 281.4 million plants were seized. Of that total, 265 million were wild marijuana plants and 12.2 million were cultivated plants while the remaining 6.1 million were sinsemilla (RCMP's NDIE, 1985). Domestic production accounts for 12% of the total US cannabis supply. The combination of strong demand and unfavourable natural conditions for growth in areas has promoted the use of diversified agricultural practices to increase marijuana production. California, Hawaii, Kentucky, Tennessee and Texas are the principal states for marijuana production under the category of active cultivation (RCMP's NDIE, 1985).

Key Routes and Smuggling Tactics

Transit states are countries deemed either geographically, politically or economically expedient for transshipping drugs. The definition has already been given and the examples among the OECD states mentioned. In order to syllogize the middle tier of what is referred to as a tritier flow structure the analysis is confined to those transit states which serve as marine conduits to the OECD states. Any production and consumption occurring in these states is ignored to facilitate identification. The most prominent aspect concerning transit states is that they permit numerous flow patterns and the adoption of a multi-modal transportation structure for a given route. Depending on the complexity of the trade matrices involving transit states in a region, it is feasible to denote such regions as transit regions. Furthermore, depending on the complexity of the flow matrices created by transit states it will be observed that specific regions can be denoted as trafficking regions (Bjorn, 1989).

The opiates adhere to relatively distinct flow lines. Except for Mexico's neighbouring position with the U.S. there are large distances between the suppliers and the consumers. The sea is one of only two viable transport mediums. All opiate products transported large distances are trans-shipped at some stage in their journeys and often more than once. Golden Triangle products destined for the OECD consumers always transit Thailand first; then, usually go on to a second transit state before importation to the demand states (Bjorn, 1989).

Caribbean geography plays a critical role in shaping maritime trafficking in the region both today and historically. Whether it was to avoid taxes from the Spanish crown or to run illegal rum into the United States during Prohibition, smugglers have relied on the region's complicated physical and political geography to move illicit goods for hundreds of years (James, (2007). Traffickers have taken advantage of "myriad hidden bays, rivers, unmapped islands, ports, or open seas" to hide and segment their routes, moving illicit goods with "almost complete impunity" for most of Caribbean history (Zackrison, 2005). Traffickers also use political and jurisdictional boundaries to evade law enforcement, traveling in and out of international borders to prevent law enforcement pursuit. Last, the imbalance in wealth between the United States and its Caribbean neighbors means that moving goods from the Caribbean to North America or through the Caribbean will always be a lucrative endeavor.

Griffith argues that two primary geographic factors influence the narcotics trade in the Caribbean. First, because even smaller states like the Bahamas comprise hundreds of separate islands, they enable traffickers' movements into, out of, and within the Caribbean. Second, the Caribbean straddles North America—the primary market for illegal drugs— and South America—the primary producer of drugs like cocaine and marijuana (Ivelaw, 1998). James Zackrison, a scholar of the drug war, summarizes the relationship between narcotics trafficking and Caribbean geography: "The geography seems to have been planned for smuggling operations between the three major land masses of North America and South America and Europe (Zackrison, 2007)."

The Caribbean also benefits from its position between resource-rich South American and consumption-heavy North America. It has been a "transit zone" of goods moving north to the United States and east to Europe for hundreds of years. Naval analyst Joshua Tallis argues, "The Caribbean's geographic location has long been among the region's most lucrative assets"(Tallis, 2002). Indeed, Miami, Florida, is closer to Barranquilla, Colombia (the largest Colombian city on the Caribbean coast), than Miami is to Chicago, and Florida has more than 8,000 miles of shoreline for traffickers to use (Zimmerman, 2006). In the 1970s and 1980s, Miami was a perfect endpoint for cocaine smuggling because the market first developed in South Florida, and its physical geography enabled trafficking (Zimmerman, 2006). Smuggling is a constant but unrecognized factor in Florida's steamy history.

The Caribbean is also large enough to offer traffickers room to adapt. It spans more than one million square miles, which are effectively doubled when traffickers also use Eastern Pacific routes along the western side of Central America. The immense size and complex geography of the Caribbean and Eastern Pacific offer traffickers' countless options for their routes. If there is heavy law enforcement presence in one area, traffickers can adapt and shift to another. If one country's political climate is no longer permissive for smuggling, traffickers can easily shift the route to another.

Challenges in Detecting Maritime Narcotics

Detecting narcotics smuggled via maritime routes poses significant challenges due to the complexity of the maritime environment and the ingenuity of traffickers. One of the most critical obstacles is the sheer size and openness of the oceans. With thousands of miles of coastline and millions of square miles of open water, monitoring every vessel is virtually impossible. This vast expanse provides traffickers with ample opportunities to evade detection by navigating through remote or poorly patrolled areas (RCMP's NDIE, 1985). The high volume of legitimate maritime traffic further complicates the task, as distinguishing illicit activities from lawful trade requires advanced intelligence and surveillance capabilities.

The methods used by traffickers add another layer of difficulty. Smugglers employ a wide range of tactics to conceal their operations, including the use of semi-submersible vessels that operate below the surface and are nearly invisible to radar. Fishing boats, yachts, and even container ships carrying legitimate cargo are also exploited, often with drugs hidden in secret compartments or mixed within innocuous goods (Ayoola, 2024). Additionally, traffickers increasingly rely on technology, such as GPS trackers and encrypted communications, to coordinate their movements and outmaneuver law enforcement. These adaptive strategies make detection and interception efforts particularly challenging.

Jurisdictional issues further hinder detection efforts. Maritime drug trafficking often occurs in international waters, where enforcement authority is limited and subject to international agreements. Even within national waters, enforcement agencies face resource constraints, such as insufficient personnel, outdated equipment, and limited budgets, which reduce their ability to conduct consistent and effective patrols. The complexity of coordinating efforts among multiple agencies, such as the Coast Guard, Navy, and Customs and Border Protection, adds to the difficulty of mounting a unified response.

Another major challenge is the use of containerized cargo, which has become a preferred method for smuggling drugs on a large scale. The global shipping industry handles millions of containers annually, and inspecting even a small percentage of these for narcotics is a daunting task. Advanced scanning technologies and artificial intelligence can help identify suspicious shipments, but these tools are expensive and not universally available, particularly in developing nations that serve as transit points for drug shipments (USAID, 2013).

To overcome these challenges, law enforcement agencies require significant technological, logistical, and financial support. Enhanced international cooperation is also essential, as traffickers exploit gaps in enforcement caused by jurisdictional boundaries. Despite these efforts, the constantly evolving tactics of traffickers mean that detecting maritime narcotics remains a persistent and formidable challenge for governments worldwide.

Conclusion

Maritime drug trafficking remains a critical challenge for global security, public health, and economic stability. The vastness of the oceans, the adaptability of traffickers, and the complexities of international jurisdiction have made it a persistent threat. It facilitates the global narcotics trade, finances organized crime, and destabilizes source, transit, and destination countries. Despite advancements in detection technologies and increasing international collaboration, the scale and sophistication of maritime trafficking operations continue to outpace enforcement efforts. Addressing this issue requires not only robust interdiction strategies but also a comprehensive approach that tackles the root causes of the narcotics trade, such as poverty, corruption, and demand for illegal drugs.

Recommendations

1. **Enhancing Surveillance and Technology**
   Investment in advanced technologies such as satellite monitoring, automated identification systems (AIS), and artificial intelligence-powered analytics can significantly improve the ability to detect and intercept suspicious maritime activities. Expanding the use of drones and other unmanned systems for patrolling remote areas can also fill gaps in surveillance coverage.
2. **Strengthening International Cooperation**
   Maritime drug trafficking is a transnational issue that requires a unified global response. Countries should strengthen agreements on information sharing, joint operations, and extradition protocols. Initiatives like the United Nations Office on

Drugs and Crime (UNODC) and regional task forces such as JIATF-S should be further supported and expanded.

3. **Improving Port and Container Security**
   Since containerized shipping is a major method for trafficking drugs, enhancing port security is critical. Implementing more widespread use of non-intrusive inspection technologies, such as X-ray and gamma-ray scanners, alongside predictive analytics to flag high-risk shipments, can help disrupt this avenue of smuggling.

4. **Addressing Economic and Social Drivers**
   Tackling the root causes of drug production and trafficking requires investment in the socio-economic development of source countries. Programs that provide alternative livelihoods, combat corruption, and strengthen governance can reduce the reliance of vulnerable populations on the narcotics trade.

5. **Demand Reduction Strategies**
   Reducing the demand for illicit drugs in consumer countries is equally essential. Public awareness campaigns, accessible treatment programs for addiction, and stricter regulations on the prescription of narcotics are critical components of a comprehensive strategy to weaken the global drug trade.

6. **Capacity Building for Developing Nations**
   Many countries serving as transit points lack the resources and infrastructure to combat maritime trafficking effectively. Providing these nations with training, equipment, and financial support can enhance their ability to detect and intercept smuggling operations.

By combining these strategies with ongoing efforts to adapt to the evolving tactics of traffickers, governments and international organizations can make meaningful progress in combating maritime drug trafficking. A sustained, multi-pronged approach will be crucial to mitigating the impacts of this pervasive issue and ensuring the security of maritime domains worldwide.

References

Ayoola Babatunde Fadola, (2024) Maritime Drug Trafficking: Challenges and Responses for Nigerian law enforcement agencies, *university of Africa Journal of Governance and Politics (uatjogap) volume 1 number 3*, september, 2024, issn: 3043 – 4378.

Bbc News, (2000) "Drug Submarine found in Colombia," BBC News, September 7, 2000, http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/ Americas/915059.stm.

Bbc News, (2006) "Spanish Police find 'Drugs' Sub," BBC News, August 14, 2006, http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/ 4792075.stm.

Beccaria, C. (1963). On crimes and punishments (introduction by H. Paolucci, Trans.). New York: Macmillan. (Original work published 1764)

Bentham, J. (1948). *An introduction to the principles of morals and legislation* (with an introduction by W. Harrison, Ed.). New York: Macmillan

Bjorn Robertstad Aune (2010) The Maritime Trade in Illicit Drugs: The Experience of the Coastal Member States of O.E.C.D. *Thesis Submitted for the Ph.D. Degree University of London UMI Numbe*r: U550164.

Border Security Threats to the Homeland (2012): DHS' response to innovative tactics and techniques: statement before the subcommittee on border and maritime security of the committee on homeland security, house of representatives, 112th cong., 2nd. Sess. (2012), https://www.govinfo.gov/content/ pkg/chrg-112hhrg79505/html/chrg-112hhrg79505.htm.

Drug threat assessment, (2019) drug enf't admin., U.S. Dep't of Justice, dea-dct-dir-007-20, *National Drug Threat Assessment* 77, 88, 104–05 (2019).

Extracted from data found in the RCMP's NDIE (1985) 1985/6 (Ottawa, 1986), p. 79, NDIE 1986/87 (Ottawa, 1987), p. 78 and NDIE 1987/88 (Ottawa, 1988), p. 85.

Ihekwoaba d. Onwudiwe, Jonathan Odo, and Emmanuel C. Onyeozili (2010) *Deterrence Theory*, https://marisluste.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/11/deterrence-theory

Ivelaw L. Griffith, (1998) "Caribbean Geopolitics and Geonarcotics: New Dynamics, Same Old Dilemma," *Naval War College Review* 51, no. 2 (Spring 1998): 57. 29

James L. Zackrison, (2007) "Smuggling and the Caribbean: Tinting Paradise throughout History," in Transnational Threats: *Smuggling and Trafficking Arms, Drugs, and Human Life,* ed. Kimberley L. Thachuk (Westport, CT: Prager Security International, 2007), 181–83.

Joint Chiefs of Staff, (2019) Joint Pub. 3-07.4, Counterdrug Operations, at i-15.

Kirk Semple, (2000) "The Submarine Next Door," New York Times, December 3, 2000, https://archive.Nytimes.com/www.nytimes.com/library/magazine/home/20001203mag-semple.html.

Kyle Mizokami, (2020) "The Ships, Planes and Submarines Busting the Cartel's Narco Subs," Popular Mechanics, June 8, 2020, https://www.popularmechanics.com/military/navy-ships/a32769682/narcosubbusting/.

Michael Kenney, (2007) from Pablo to Osama: Trafficking and Terrorist Networks, Government Bureaucracies, and Competitive Adaptation (*University Park: Pennsylvania State University Press,* 2007), 103.

RCMP, (1985) NDIE 1984/5 (Ottawa, 1985), p. 60.

RCMP, NDIE 1985/6 (Ottawa, 1986), p. 79.

Stan Zimmerman, (2006) A History of Smuggling in Florida: Rumrunners and Cocaine Cowboys (Charleston, SC: History Press, 2006), 93.

Tallis, (2002) The War for Muddy Waters, 69. 31

UN Doc, (1987) E/CN.7/1987/CRP.6, p. 57.

UN, (1987) International Conference on Drug Abuse and Illicit Trafficking [Pub. V.86-62365] (Vienna, January 1987).

World Drug Report, (2020) 77, 88, 105; U.N. Off. On drugs & crime, *World Drug Report, at 29, U.N. Sales n*o. E.20.xi.6 (2020).