JOURNAL OF POLITICAL DISCOURSE
ISSN Print: 2992-2763 – ISSN Online: 2992-4618 | Vol. 3, No. 3 / September, 2025
https://www.jopd.com.ng

FOOD SAFETY AND MANAGEMENT, ENHANCING GLOBAL STANDARDS FOR PUBLIC HEALTH

Nwaduhu Beruck Oruchkwu
berucknwaduhu2017@gmail.com

Abstract
Food safety is a critical component of public health, encompassing practices that prevent food borne illnesses and ensure the nutritional quality of food. This article examines the evolution of food safety management systems (FSMS), focusing on international standards, regulatory frameworks, and the role of culture in food safety practices. Through a comprehensive review of literature and analysis of current practices, the study identifies key challenges and proposes strategies for improving food safety globally.
Keywords: Food Safety, Management Systems, International Standards, Public Health, Food borne Illnesses

Introduction

Food safety has emerged as a cornerstone of global public health policy, integral to sustaining human wellbeing, economic stability, and sustainable development. As food production and trade systems have expanded across borders, the potential for food borne hazards to spread rapidly has increased dramatically. According to the World Health Organization (2020), an estimated 600 million people nearly one in ten worldwide fall ill after consuming contaminated food each year, resulting in 420,000 deaths and the loss of 33 million healthy life years (DALYs). These staggering figures underscore the urgent need for comprehensive and harmonized food safety management systems (FSMS) that can prevent contamination, control hazards, and ensure consistent standards across all stages of the food chain.

The increasing globalization of the food supply has introduced complexities that challenge traditional approaches to food safety regulation. Modern food systems rely on transnational networks of suppliers, distributors, and consumers, making it increasingly difficult for individual nations to safeguard their food chains independently (Moy & Godefroy, 2023). Cross-border trade, urbanization, climate change, and evolving dietary patterns have collectively transformed how food is produced, processed, and distributed. This transformation necessitates the harmonization of international food safety standards and greater cooperation between global organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), and the World Trade Organization (WTO) (World Trade Organization, 2015). The Codex Alimentarius, developed jointly by the FAO and WHO, has become the benchmark for international food standards, offering a globally recognized framework for protecting consumer health and ensuring fair practices in food trade (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2021).

The evolution of food safety management has been shaped by technological innovation, policy reforms, and shifts in public perception regarding food-related risks. Historically, food safety regulation was reactive addressing outbreaks after they occurred rather than preventing them. However, the 20th century witnessed the rise of preventive systems such as Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP), which revolutionized food safety management by focusing on identifying, evaluating, and controlling hazards throughout the production process (Awuchi et al., 2023). The later introduction of ISO 22000 provided an internationally recognized framework that integrates HACCP principles with quality management systems, enabling organizations across the food chain to demonstrate compliance with globally accepted standards (International Organization for Standardization, 2018).

Despite these advancements, the effectiveness of food safety management remains uneven across regions due to differences in regulatory capacity, infrastructure, and cultural attitudes toward safety and hygiene. Developing nations, in particular, often face structural barriers such as inadequate inspection systems, limited technical expertise, and resource constraints that hinder the implementation of FSMS (Unnevehr, 2015). Moreover, the informal nature of food production and distribution in many low-income countries increases the risk of contamination and weakens traceability. Even in developed nations, lapses in compliance, supply chain disruptions, and evolving pathogens continue to pose challenges. For instance, food borne outbreaks linked to *Listeria monocytogenes* and *E. coli* have repeatedly highlighted the importance of continuous monitoring, technological innovation, and cultural transformation within organizations (Guenther, 2022).

The cultural dimension of food safety has increasingly gained recognition as a determinant of effective management. Research by Griffith, Livesey, and Clayton (2010) emphasizes that compliance with food safety regulations extends beyond formal systems it depends heavily on organizational culture, leadership commitment, and the shared attitudes of employees toward hygiene and accountability. A robust food safety culture (FSC) reflects collective values and behaviors that prioritize safety as a non-negotiable organizational goal (Pai et al., 2024). In contrast, weak food safety cultures often lead to complacency, underreporting of non-compliance, and insufficient training. Studies have demonstrated that organizations that nurture open communication, employee engagement, and continuous education tend to maintain superior hygiene and control standards (Zanin et al., 2017; Manning, 2018). Consequently, the concept of FSC has become an essential component of contemporary FSMS frameworks, complementing technical and procedural controls with human-centered approaches.

At the policy level, the globalization of food safety governance has created both opportunities and tensions. On one hand, international trade agreements under the WTO, particularly those related to the Agreement on the Application of Sanitary Measures (SPS Agreement), have promoted the harmonization of national standards with global benchmarks (Snyder, 2016). On the other hand, disparities in enforcement capacity and socioeconomic development have led to uneven adoption and implementation of these standards. Developed economies tend to have sophisticated surveillance systems, advanced laboratory capacities, and strong regulatory oversight, whereas developing nations struggle with fragmented regulatory structures and limited infrastructure for testing and monitoring. As Ramsingh (2014) observes, international standardization must be accompanied by capacity-building efforts that support national food control systems and empower local authorities to effectively manage risks.

The interplay between science, policy, and culture defines the modern landscape of food safety management. The increasing use of technology such as digital traceability systems, block chain, and artificial intelligence has enhanced the ability to detect, record, and respond to hazards in real time (Wang et al., 2024). Automation and big data analytics facilitate predictive risk assessments, while Internet of Things (IoT) devices allow continuous monitoring of temperature, humidity, and contamination levels during transportation and storage. However, the integration of these technologies requires skilled personnel, strong governance frameworks, and ethical considerations to ensure data privacy and transparency.

The technological transformation of food safety management thus presents both a solution and a challenge: it can significantly reduce human error and enhance efficiency, but its success ultimately depends on organizational readiness and human factors.

Furthermore, the link between food safety and public health is inseparable. Unsafe food undermines nutrition, exacerbates poverty, and contributes to the global burden of disease. For instance, contaminated food not only causes acute illnesses but can also lead to long-term health consequences such as kidney failure, cancer, and developmental disorders.

The World Health Organization (2020) has identified food safety as one of the foundational components of universal health coverage and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly Goal 3 (Good Health and Well-being) and Goal 12 (Responsible Consumption and Production). Therefore, ensuring food safety is not merely a technical or regulatory issue it is a public health imperative and a moral obligation that influences global socioeconomic development.

In addition to public health implications, food safety is closely tied to economic growth and international trade. Unsafe food products can lead to substantial economic losses through food recalls, reputational damage, and reduced consumer trust. The World Bank estimates that unsafe food costs low- and middle-income countries approximately $95 billion in productivity losses annually. In an interconnected global market, even a localized contamination incident can have widespread economic repercussions, affecting entire industries and international supply chains. Consequently, robust FSMS are essential not only for consumer protection but also for economic stability and competitiveness.

Education and behavioral change are also central to improving global food safety outcomes. Empirical studies reveal that consumer practices particularly at the household and retail levels remain a critical control point for reducing contamination risks (Redmond & Griffith, 2003). The public's understanding of hygiene, cooking temperatures, and cross-contamination prevention significantly influences the effectiveness of national food safety programs. Educational interventions and awareness campaigns that target both consumers and food handlers can reinforce safe practices, thereby complementing regulatory and technological measures (Sarter & Sarter, 2012).

Despite global progress, several challenges persist in achieving universally high food safety standards. These include regulatory fragmentation, limited data sharing between countries, insufficient investment in laboratory infrastructure, and inconsistent risk communication. Moreover, emerging risks such as antimicrobial resistance, climate-induced contamination, and novel food technologies (e.g., genetically modified organisms and lab-grown meats) continue to reshape the global food safety landscape. Addressing these challenges requires coordinated international action, adaptive governance, and ongoing research to update safety protocols in line with scientific evidence (Bulochova et al., 2024).

The globalization of the food system has necessitated a paradigm shift in how food safety is understood and managed. It demands a multidimensional approach that integrates science-based risk assessment, strong governance, cultural transformation, and technological innovation. This study builds upon the growing body of literature that recognizes food safety not only as a regulatory requirement but also as an organizational and societal responsibility. By exploring the effectiveness of existing FSMS, the influence of international standards, and the role of organizational culture, this paper seeks to contribute to the ongoing discourse on how global food safety can be enhanced for the protection of public health and the advancement of sustainable development.

Literature Review

Conceptual Overview of Food Safety and Management

Food safety refers to the assurance that food will not cause harm to the consumer when it is prepared and/or eaten according to its intended use (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020). It encompasses a wide range of practices, from raw material sourcing and processing to packaging, transportation, and consumption. Food safety management systems (FSMS) are structured frameworks designed to identify, evaluate, and control hazards that threaten the safety and quality of food throughout the supply chain (International Organization for Standardization [ISO], 2018). These systems aim not only to comply with regulatory requirements but also to ensure continuous improvement and consumer confidence.

The modern conceptualization of FSMS is grounded in risk analysis principles, comprising hazard identification, risk assessment, risk management, and risk communication. According to Awuchi et al. (2023), the effectiveness of FSMS depends on integrating preventive measures such as Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), Sanitation Standard Operating Procedures (SSOP), and the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Point (HACCP) system. The application of HACCP, first formalized in the 1960s by NASA to ensure the safety of astronaut food, marked a paradigm shift from reactive to preventive food safety strategies (Guenther, 2022). Today, FSMS frameworks have evolved into complex, multilayered systems embedded within international trade regulations, public health policies, and organizational management processes.

Evolution and Globalization of Food Safety Standards

The globalization of food trade has necessitated the development of harmonized international food safety standards to facilitate fair trade and protect public health. The Codex Alimentarius Commission, established jointly by the FAO and WHO in 1963, remains the primary global reference point for food standards (Codex Alimentarius Commission, 2021). The Codex provides a scientific basis for regulatory measures, emphasizing risk-based approaches to food safety governance. Countries that adopt Codex standards align their national policies with international best practices, thereby enhancing market access and ensuring consumer protection.

Complementary to Codex, the ISO 22000 standard, first introduced in 2005 and revised in 2018, offers a comprehensive FSMS applicable to any organization within the food chain. ISO 22000 integrates HACCP principles, prerequisite programs, and quality management standards to promote uniformity in safety practices (ISO, 2018). According to Moy and Godefroy (2023), ISO 22000 facilitates the global harmonization of food safety requirements by bridging the gap between regulatory systems and voluntary industry-led initiatives. However, while these standards serve as benchmarks, the degree of compliance varies widely depending on national capacity, economic development, and institutional support.

Ramsingh (2014) notes that the evolution of food safety governance has been shaped by international trade agreements, particularly the WTO's Agreement on the Application of Sanitary and Phytosanitary (SPS) Measures. The SPS Agreement recognizes Codex standards as authoritative references for resolving trade disputes and mandates that member states base their national food safety measures on scientific risk assessments. This has strengthened the interconnection between trade and health policy, positioning food safety as a key component of global governance. Nevertheless, Snyder (2016) cautions that globalization has also intensified regulatory asymmetries, as developed countries often have greater capacity to meet international standards compared to developing nations.

Unnevehr (2015) emphasizes that harmonization should not simply involve adopting international standards but must include capacity building, knowledge transfer, and institutional development to ensure equitable participation in global markets. In this context, food safety becomes both a technical and socio-political issue, reflecting disparities in infrastructure, technology, and governance across regions.

Theoretical Underpinnings of Food Safety Management

The management of food safety is influenced not only by institutional and technological factors but also by behavioral and cultural dimensions. The **Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB)** provides a valuable framework for understanding compliance behavior in food safety contexts. According to this theory, behavior is shaped by attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control (Ajzen, 1991). When applied to food safety, TPB suggests that

employees' commitment to safe practices is influenced by their personal beliefs, organizational expectations, and perceived ability to act safely.

Griffith, Livesey, and Clayton (2010) argue that effective food safety management requires moving beyond regulatory compliance toward fostering a **food safety culture (FSC)** a collective commitment to hygiene, responsibility, and transparency. FSC emphasizes the human factor in ensuring safety, recognizing that even the most sophisticated systems can fail without employee engagement and leadership support. This concept is supported by Wright, Leach, and Palmer (2012), who developed tools for diagnosing food safety culture within organizations, identifying communication, leadership, and shared values as critical elements.

Zanin et al. (2017) and Manning (2018) further reinforce that the integration of FSC into FSMS leads to improved risk management outcomes. When employees internalize food safety values, compliance becomes habitual rather than imposed. In contrast, weak cultures characterized by fear, poor communication, or lack of accountability often experience higher rates of non-compliance and incident recurrence. Thus, the theoretical perspective on food safety management increasingly combines organizational behavior theories with traditional risk-based models, highlighting the interplay between structure and human agency in achieving safety objectives.

Technological Innovations in Food Safety Management

Technological advancements have significantly transformed how food safety is managed, monitored, and verified. Emerging technologies such as **blockchain, artificial intelligence (AI), big data analytics, and Internet of Things (IoT)** have enabled real-time traceability and risk detection throughout the food supply chain (Wang et al., 2024). These tools enhance transparency, allowing regulators and consumers to track products from farm to fork. AI-powered systems can analyze large datasets to predict contamination risks, while block chain technology ensures data integrity and accountability across multiple actors.

Bulochova et al. (2024) highlight that digital monitoring systems and automated hazard detection tools improve accuracy and efficiency in identifying food borne risks. Similarly, Dupont et al. (2014) emphasize that technology is most effective when combined with human oversight, training, and ethical governance. However, technological implementation varies widely by region due to differences in infrastructure and economic resources. Developed economies often leverage advanced traceability tools, while developing nations rely more on manual inspection and traditional risk management practices.

Moreover, technological innovation extends beyond monitoring to include improvements in **food preservation, packaging, and microbial control**. Innovations such as nanotechnology-based antimicrobial coatings, biosensors, and smart packaging systems are increasingly used to extend shelf life and detect spoilage in real time. Yet, as Guenther (2022) and Pai et al. (2024) observe, the adoption of such technologies must be balanced with considerations of safety, cost-effectiveness, and public acceptance. Technology alone cannot replace the need for a strong regulatory framework and cultural commitment to safety.

Cultural and Behavioral Dimensions of Food Safety

The human factor remains central to achieving food safety objectives. Research has consistently shown that lapses in hygiene and handling practices are often due to behavioral and cultural deficiencies rather than technological or regulatory failures (Jevšnik & Raspor, 2020). Organizational culture defined by leadership style, communication, and shared beliefs directly influences how employees perceive and act upon safety procedures.

Griffith et al. (2010) introduced the concept of food safety culture as an emerging risk factor, arguing that unsafe practices often stem from cultural complacency or conflicting priorities within organizations. Studies by Zanin et al. (2017) demonstrated that targeted educational interventions could significantly improve FSC, leading to measurable reductions

in contamination rates. Sarter and Sarter (2012) similarly found that promoting a safety culture through hygiene education in small restaurants in Madagascar improved food handling behaviors and compliance.

At the consumer level, Redmond and Griffith (2003) identified that improper storage, inadequate cooking, and cross-contamination in households remain major sources of food borne illness. Educational campaigns aimed at improving consumer knowledge of safe food handling have proven effective in reducing illness rates. These findings underscore that food safety must be approached as a shared responsibility between governments, industry, and consumers.

Global and Regional Challenges in Food Safety Implementation

While the principles of FSMS are globally recognized, their implementation varies significantly across regions. In developing countries, challenges include insufficient regulatory infrastructure, fragmented inspection systems, inadequate laboratory facilities, and limited technical expertise (Unnevehr, 2015). In many cases, informal food markets dominate, making it difficult to enforce hygiene standards or trace contamination sources. Moreover, the absence of effective coordination between government agencies often results in overlapping or inconsistent regulations.

Conversely, developed economies face challenges of **systemic complexity and evolving risks**. The increasing scale of industrial food production and the diversification of global supply chains heighten vulnerability to cross-contamination and fraud (Moy & Godefroy, 2023). Additionally, climate change introduces new risks by altering patterns of microbial growth and contamination. For instance, warmer temperatures and extreme weather events can increase the proliferation of food borne pathogens such as *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* (Wang et al., 2024).

Economic globalization has also amplified the need for **harmonized surveillance and rapid response systems**. Outbreaks in one region can quickly affect others through international trade. As such, global cooperation, data sharing, and harmonized legislation are vital. Ramsingh (2014) and Snyder (2016) highlight the importance of integrating food safety considerations into trade policies, while WHO (2020) advocates a "One Health" approach that links human, animal, and environmental health for comprehensive risk management.

Empirical Findings on the Effectiveness of FSMS

Empirical studies consistently support the efficacy of structured FSMS in reducing food borne illnesses and improving compliance. Zanin et al. (2017, 2017b) demonstrated that implementing HACCP and FSC initiatives in food services led to measurable improvements in hygiene and employee behavior. Similarly, Liggans et al. (2024) found that retail food establishments with strong safety cultures and leadership involvement exhibited lower contamination levels.

Awuchi et al. (2023) argue that continuous training, leadership commitment, and internal auditing are critical determinants of FSMS success. Likewise, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA, 2022) concluded that organizations integrating culture-based safety measures achieved greater long-term compliance than those relying solely on procedural enforcement. These findings collectively affirm that FSMS effectiveness relies on a combination of technical, organizational, and cultural factors.

The reviewed literature provides a rich understanding of how international standards, technology, and organizational culture converge to shape food safety management. However, significant research gaps remain. First, most empirical studies are concentrated in developed countries, leaving limited evidence on FSMS implementation in resource-limited settings. Second, while the concept of FSC is widely discussed, its operationalization and measurement remain inconsistent across studies (Liggans et al., 2024). Third, the integration

of emerging technologies in FSMS raises ethical, economic, and data governance issues that have not been fully explored.

Finally, there is a need for longitudinal studies assessing the long-term impact of combined FSMS and FSC interventions on public health outcomes. Understanding how regulatory harmonization, training programs, and cultural transformations interact over time can provide more comprehensive insights into sustainable food safety governance.

Research Objectives

To assess the effectiveness of existing FSMS in preventing food borne illnesses.
To examine the role of international standards in shaping national food safety regulations.
To evaluate the impact of organizational culture on food safety practices.
To identify challenges in implementing FSMS across different regions.

Research Questions

How do FSMS contribute to the reduction of food borne diseases?
What is the influence of international standards on local food safety regulations?
In what ways does organizational culture affect food safety compliance?
What are the barriers to effective FSMS implementation globally?

Theoretical Framework

This study is grounded in the Theory of Planned Behavior, which posits that individual intentions, influenced by attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control, predict behavior. In the context of food safety, this theory helps explain how personal and organizational factors influence compliance with FSMS.

Research Methodology

A mixed-methods approach was employed, combining quantitative surveys of food safety practices in various organizations with qualitative interviews of stakeholders involved in food safety management. Data were analyzed to identify patterns and correlations between FSMS components and food safety outcomes.

Conclusions

The study concludes that while international standards provide a framework for FSMS, their effectiveness is contingent upon local adaptation and the establishment of a supportive food safety culture. Organizations that invest in training, leadership commitment, and continuous improvement are more successful in maintaining high food safety standards.

Recommendations

Governments should harmonize food safety regulations with international standards to facilitate trade, protect public health, and ensure consistent safety levels across the food supply chain. Policy makers must prioritize the development of comprehensive food safety legislation that aligns with global best practices while considering local contexts and resources.

Organizations must foster a robust food safety culture by integrating leadership commitment, continuous employee training, and open communication channels. Management should actively engage staff at all levels in food safety initiatives, encourage reporting of non-compliance without fear of retaliation, and reward adherence to best practices. This will enhance organizational accountability and strengthen adherence to FSMS.

The integration of modern technology, such as digital monitoring systems, automated hazard detection, and real-time data analysis, can greatly enhance the efficiency, accuracy, and transparency of food safety management. Investment in technology should be accompanied by staff training to ensure effective adoption and utilization.

Continuous evaluation, monitoring, and adaptation of FSMS are essential to address emerging risks, changing regulatory requirements, and evolving consumer expectations.

Organizations should establish regular audits, risk assessments, and feedback mechanisms to ensure continuous improvement in food safety practices.

Collaboration between public and private sectors is vital for the development and implementation of effective food safety policies. Partnerships with academic institutions, industry associations, and international bodies can foster knowledge exchange, innovation, and capacity building. Collective efforts in research, policy development, and awareness campaigns will strengthen global food safety standards and public confidence.

Investment in public awareness campaigns to educate consumers on safe food handling, storage, and preparation practices is also critical. Empowering consumers with knowledge helps reduce the incidence of food borne illnesses and encourages responsible practices at the household level.

Finally, developing a culture of accountability and transparency at all levels of the food production and supply chain is imperative. Clear roles, responsibilities, and reporting mechanisms should be established to ensure that safety practices are consistently applied and monitored.

Major Contribution to Knowledge

This paper contributes to the understanding of the interplay between international standards, organizational culture, and food safety outcomes. It provides a comprehensive analysis of current practices and offers practical recommendations for enhancing FSMS globally.

References

Codex Alimentarius Commission. (2021). Codex Alimentarius: International Food Standards. FAO/WHO.

International Organization for Standardization. (2018). ISO 22000:2018 Food safety management systems Requirements for any organization in the food chain. ISO.

World Health Organization. (2020). Food Safety. WHO.

Griffith, C. J., Livesey, K. M., & Clayton, D. A. (2010). Food safety culture: The evolution of an emerging risk factor? British Food Journal, 112(4), 426-438.

Redmond, E. C., & Griffith, C. J. (2003). Consumer food handling in the home: A review of food safety studies. Journal of Food Protection, 66(1), 130-143.

Unnevehr, L. (2015). Food safety management and regulation: International experiences and lessons. Food Control, 50, 1-8.

World Trade Organization. (2015). Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures. WTO.

Zanin, L. M., Luning, P. A., da Cunha, D. T., & Stedefeldt, E. (2017). Influence of educational actions on transitioning of food safety culture in a food service context: Part 1 – Triangulation and data interpretation of food safety culture elements. Food Control, 73, 1114-1123.

FDA. (2022). Food Safety Culture Systematic Literature Review. U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

Bulochova, V., et al. (2024). Methods and measures in food service food safety research. Food Control, 142, 108772.

Awuchi, C. G., et al. (2023). HACCP, quality, and food safety management: A review of traditional and novel approaches. Food Control, 146, 109545.

Guenther, M. (2022). The Overall Benefits of Food Safety – A Literature Review. New Zealand Food Safety Science and Research Centre.

Ramsingh, B. (2014). The emergence of international food safety standards and guidelines: Understanding the current landscape through a historical approach. Perspectives in Public Health, 134(4), 206-215.

Pai, A. S., et al. (2024). A comprehensive review of food safety culture in the food industry. Journal of Food Science, 89(4), 1201-1210.

Liggans, G., et al. (2024). How food safety culture is operationalized for retail food establishments. Journal of Food Protection, 87(5), 741-748.

Wang, L., et al. (2024). Research hotspots and evolution trends of food safety risk management. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety, 23(4), 1234-1250.

Moy, G. G., & Godefroy, S. (2023). International standards and harmonization of food safety legislation. In G. G. Moy & S. Godefroy (Eds.), Global Food Safety and Quality: Emerging Issues and Challenges (pp. 45-67). Elsevier.

Snyder, F. (2016). Globalisation of national food safety standards through WTO consultations. In F. Snyder (Ed.), Food Safety and International Trade: Emerging Issues and Challenges (pp. 89-112). Edward Elgar Publishing.

Sarter, G., & Sarter, S. (2012). Promoting a culture of food safety to improve hygiene in small restaurants in Madagascar. Food Control, 25(1), 165-171.

Zanin, L. M., et al. (2017). Influence of educational actions on transitioning of food safety culture in a food service context: Part 2 – Evaluation of food safety culture elements. Food Control, 73, 1124-1132.

Dupont, D., Fratilla, A., & Keiner, G. (2014). The Human Factor: Improving Food Safety & Quality Through A Focus On People And Culture. DuPont Workshop, 9th Annual Global Food Safety Congress, Madrid.

Wright, M., Leach, P., & Palmer, G. (2012). A Tool to Diagnose Culture in Food Business Operators: Report from Greenstreet Berman Ltd for the FSA. Food Standards Agency.

Jevšnik, M., & Raspor, P. (2020). The Human Factor as A Trigger of Food Safety Culture Within Food Networks: The Review. Acta Microbiologica Bulgarica, 36(4), 115-131.

Manning, L. (2018). The value of food safety culture to the hospitality industry. Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes, 10(3), 284-296.

Samuel, E. J., Evans, E. W., & Redmond, E. C. (202