JOURNAL OF POLITICAL DISCOURSE
ISSN Print: 2992-2763 – ISSN Online: 2992-4618 | Vol. 4, No. 2 / June, 2026
https://www.jopd.com.ng

ONE TRILLION DOLLAR ECONOMY AND THE GOVERNANCE ISSUES IN NIGERIA: AN INTELLECTUAL REFLECTIONS

SIMON OLUWATOYIN OBADAHUN (PhD),
Department of Public Administration,
Federal University Dutsin-Ma,
Katsina state, Nigeria.
sobadahun@fudutsinma.edu.ng 07030160013
DOI: https://doi.org/10.57233/jopd.v4i2.14

Abstract
Nigeria, Africa's most populous nation and largest economy, has set an ambitious target of becoming a one trillion dollar economy by 2030. While this aspiration is theoretically attainable given the country's demographic profile, natural resource endowment, and entrepreneurial dynamism, this article argues that persistent and deepening governance deficits constitute the binding constraint to realising this potential. Drawing on institutional economics, public choice theory, and comparative political economy, the article examines six critical governance issues—fiscal indiscipline, regulatory opacity, institutional fragility, subnational fiscal crisis, electoral dysfunction, and security sector governance failure—that systematically undermine productive transformation. The article finds that while Nigeria's GDP growth trajectory could mathematically reach one trillion dollars by the end of the decade, such growth would be brittle, inequitable, and unsustainable without fundamental governance recalibration. Unlike conventional analyses that treat governance as one variable among many, this article positions governance as the meta-institution that determines the productivity of all other factors. The article concludes that a one trillion dollar economy built on current governance foundations would constitute not a development milestone but an extractive enclave—a large economy for a few alongside persistent poverty for the many. Realising a genuine one trillion dollar economy requires not accelerated growth alone, but governance-led structural transformation anchored in fiscal federalism reform, regulatory simplification, anti-corruption institutionalisation, and democratic accountability.

Keywords: Governance Issues, Nigeria, One trillion-dollar Economy, institutional, Fiscal federalism, Structural transformation.

1. INTRODUCTION

In October 2021, the Nigerian Economic Summit Group (NESG) launched its "Nigeria Vision 2050" framework, projecting that the country could achieve a one trillion-dollar economy by 2030 under an optimistic growth scenario. This projection was subsequently adopted by the federal government as a key performance indicator, featuring prominently in the Medium-Term National Development Plan (MTNDP) 2021-2025 and the presidential economic development discourse. The aspiration captures a compelling logic: Nigeria's population of over 220 million, projected to exceed 400 million by 2050; its position as Africa's largest oil producer and holder of the continent's largest natural gas reserves; its increasingly vibrant services and technology sectors; and the entrepreneurial resilience of its citizens collectively suggest that a one trillion dollar economy is not merely aspirational but potentially feasible.

However, the same logic that makes the target plausible also renders it paradoxical. Nigeria has repeatedly demonstrated the capacity for rapid GDP growth—achieving 7-8 percent annual growth in the decade preceding the 2016 recession—without corresponding improvements in employment, poverty, or human development. The celebrated 2014 GDP rebasing, which added 89 percent to the size of the economy overnight, changed nothing about the lived reality of ordinary Nigerians. A one trillion dollar economy built on the same governance foundations as the current $400-450 billion economy would be quantitatively larger but qualitatively unchanged: an extractive enclave where growth accrues to a narrow political-commercial elite while the majority remains trapped in multidimensional poverty.

This article is premised on a central thesis: governance is not merely one input into economic growth but the meta-institution that determines the productivity of all other inputs—capital, labour, technology, and natural resources. Governance issues in Nigeria are therefore not peripheral constraints that can be addressed after growth is achieved; they are the binding constraint that must be resolved before growth can become developmental.

The article makes three contributions to the literature. First, it systematically connects Nigeria's governance deficits to the structural characteristics of its economy in a way that explains why growth has historically failed to translate into development. Second, it moves beyond the conventional diagnosis of corruption as the primary governance problem, disaggregating governance into six analytically distinct but empirically interrelated dimensions. Third, it offers a sober intellectual reflection on what kind of one trillion dollar economy Nigeria is realistically building and what alternative governance architectures would be required to build a different one.

The remainder of the article is structured as follows. Section two develops the theoretical framework, integrating institutional economics, public choice theory, and the political settlements literature. Section three presents an empirical overview of Nigeria's economy and the governance challenges that shape its trajectory. Section six examines six critical governance issues in depth. Section seven analyses the relationship between governance, growth, and development, distinguishing between growth as expansion and growth as transformation. Section eight offers comparative perspectives from countries that successfully navigated similar transitions. Section nine concludes with governance-led reform priorities for achieving a developmental one trillion dollar economy.

2. Theoretical Framework: Governance as a Meta-Institution

2.1 The Institutional Foundations of Economic Transformation

The relationship between governance and economic development has been central to institutional economics since North's (1990) seminal work establishing that institutions—the formal rules and informal constraints that structure political, economic, and social interaction—are the fundamental determinants of long-term economic performance. North argued that efficient institutions reduce transaction costs, enforce contracts, protect property rights, and create incentives for productive investment. Conversely, extractive institutions enable elites to capture rents, suppress competition, and structure the economy for their benefit rather than for broad-based growth (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012).

Rodrik (2007) extended this framework by distinguishing between growth as "ignition" and growth as "sustained transformation." Ignition can occur under a variety of institutional arrangements, including authoritarian regimes and weak governance systems, provided that some sectors experience productivity gains. Sustained transformation—the kind that

generates employment, reduces poverty, and builds productive capacity across the economy—requires institutional quality that includes market-supporting regulation, macroeconomic stability, and accountable governance.

The governance-growth nexus is further complicated by the distinction between GDP growth and human development. Easterly (2001) demonstrated that while growth correlates with poverty reduction on average, the relationship is highly contingent on the distribution of growth benefits and the quality of public services. Countries can grow without developing—a phenomenon famously labelled "growth without development" (Hirschman, 1958) and later "unequal growth" (Bourguignon, 2004)—when governance structures channel growth benefits to elites.

2.2 Public Choice Theory and the Political Economy of Governance

Public choice theory, associated with Buchanan and Tullock (1962), applies economic reasoning to political behaviour, assuming that politicians, bureaucrats, and interest groups act in their self-interest rather than in pursuit of abstract public welfare. From this perspective, governance failures are not accidents or consequences of incompetence but outcomes predicted by the incentive structures in which political actors operate.

Krueger's (1974) analysis of rent-seeking is particularly relevant to Nigeria. She demonstrated that when governments create artificial scarcity through licenses, permits, quotas, and other discretionary allocations, rational economic actors invest in securing favourable decisions rather than in productive activity. The result is a dissipation of social surplus: resources that could have generated new value are instead expended in unproductive competition for pre-existing rents. Rent-seeking is not a pathology but the predictable outcome of governance systems that confer discretionary power over valuable economic assets.

Nigeria's political economy approximates the "political settlement" model proposed by Khan (2010), in which growth outcomes depend not on the presence of "good institutions" as defined by Western models but on the distribution of power among contending groups and the institutional arrangements that enable or constrain rent management. Khan argues that growth is possible under different types of political settlements, but developmental transformation requires that rents be managed in ways that build productive capabilities rather than merely distributing consumption benefits to elites.

2.3 Governance as a Meta-Institution

This article proposes that governance be understood as a meta-institution: the set of rules, processes, and accountability mechanisms that determine how all other institutions perform. Effective governance raises the productivity of education, health, infrastructure, and private investment. Ineffective governance systematically undermines them.

The meta-institution framework has three implications. First, governance reforms produce multiplicative rather than additive effects; improving governance improves the returns to all other investments. Second, governance deficits are not easily compensated by other factors; no amount of foreign direct investment, aid, or natural resource revenue can substitute for accountable, capable governance. Third, governance is path-dependent: once extractive governance structures are entrenched and elites have organised to defend them, fundamental change is politically costly and may precipitate crisis.

3. Nigeria's Economy: Size, Structure, and Growth Dynamics

3.1 Macroeconomic Overview

Nigeria's economy has undergone significant structural change since the early 2000s, though not necessarily in developmental directions. According to the National Bureau of Statistics (2024), nominal GDP reached approximately $450 billion in 2023, up from $135 billion in 2005 but down from a peak of $574 billion in 2014 following the oil price collapse and exchange rate depreciation. The economy remains heavily dependent on oil: petroleum accounted for approximately 70 percent of government revenue and 90 percent of foreign exchange earnings in 2023, despite contributing less than 10 percent of GDP.

The services sector has grown steadily, now constituting approximately 56 percent of GDP, driven by telecommunications, financial services, and entertainment. Agriculture remains important for employment (35 percent of the labour force) but contributes only 23 percent of GDP, reflecting low productivity and limited value addition. Industry—including oil, manufacturing, and construction—contributes approximately 19 percent of GDP, far below the levels achieved by East Asian economies at comparable stages of development (World Bank, 2024a).

3.2 The One Trillion Dollar Target: Mathematical Feasibility

The one trillion dollar target is mathematically plausible. If Nigeria's nominal GDP grows at an average annual rate of 12 percent (7 percent real growth plus 5 percent inflation) from a baseline of $450 billion in 2024, it would reach approximately $890 billion by 2027 and $1.1 trillion by 2030. More conservatively, at 8 percent nominal growth (5 percent real plus 3 percent inflation), the target would be reached by 2035.

However, Nigeria's historical growth trajectory raises questions about sustainability. Real GDP growth averaged 5.5 percent between 2000 and 2014 but fell to 1.6 percent between 2015 and 2024, with negative growth in 2016 (-1.6 percent) and 2020 (-1.8 percent). Growth has been volatile, procyclical with oil prices, and insufficient to keep pace with population growth. Per capita GDP has stagnated, falling from a peak of $3,300 in 2014 to approximately $2,100 in 2024 in real terms (World Bank, 2024b).

3.3 The Structural Paradox: Large Economy, Weak Development

Nigeria exhibits what might be termed a structural paradox: it is simultaneously a large economy in aggregate and a weak economy in developmental outcomes. While GDP exceeds $450 billion, ranking Nigeria among the 30 largest economies globally, the country also has:

- Over 130 million multidimensionally poor citizens (63 percent of the population)
- An unemployment rate exceeding 33 percent, with youth unemployment over 40 percent
- One of the highest rates of out-of-school children globally (over 20 million)
- Maternal and under-five mortality rates among the highest in the world
- Electricity generation capacity below 5,000 MW for a population of over 220 million

This paradox is the central puzzle that governance analysis must explain and that the one trillion dollar aspiration must confront. Growth has occurred, but it has been extractive growth: benefits captured by a narrow elite in the oil, import, and construction sectors, with limited forward or backward linkages to the broader economy.

4. Six Governance Issues Constraining Transformation

4.1 Fiscal Indiscipline and the Resource Curse

Nigeria's fiscal governance is characterised by chronic indiscipline: persistent budget deficits, opaque off-budget spending (including subsidy under-recoveries now rebranded as "cost smoothing"), and a revenue-to-GDP ratio (approximately 7 percent) that is among the lowest in the world. The fiscal crisis deepened dramatically following the removal of fuel subsidies in 2023, which, while necessary for long-term sustainability, created acute short-term pressures as inflation surged and purchasing power collapsed.

The resource curse literature (Sachs & Warner, 2001; Mehlum et al., 2006) explains why oil-rich countries often underperform their resource-poor counterparts. Natural resource rents create four governance pathologies: (1) the "Dutch disease" appreciation of real exchange rates, which crowds out tradable sectors; (2) revenue volatility, which undermines fiscal planning; (3) the "rentier effect," which reduces the state's need to tax citizens and thereby weakens accountability; and (4) the concentration of political power around resource control, which intensifies conflict over distribution.

Nigeria exhibits all four pathologies. The Dutch disease has de-industrialised the economy: manufacturing's share of GDP fell from 10 percent in 1980 to less than 7 percent in 2024. Revenue volatility has made planning impossible. The rentier effect has created a state that distributes revenue rather than providing services. And conflict over oil revenue—particularly between the federal government and producing states—has been a persistent source of political instability.

4.2 Regulatory Opacity and the Cost of Doing Business

Nigeria's regulatory environment imposes substantial costs on productive enterprise. The World Bank's Ease of Doing Business rankings (suspended for political reasons but still analytically useful) placed Nigeria 131st out of 190 countries in 2020, behind Rwanda (38th), Kenya (56th), and South Africa (84th). The most problematic areas included starting a business, registering property, enforcing contracts, and accessing credit.

Regulatory opacity is not merely bureaucratic inefficiency; it is a governance strategy. When rules are unclear, discretionary, or inconsistently enforced, officials can extract rents from firms seeking predictable treatment. The result is a "grabbing hand" rather than a "helping hand" state (Shleifer & Vishny, 1998). Firms respond by investing in political connections rather than productive capabilities, by operating informally to avoid regulation, or by exiting the economy entirely.

The cost is measurable: the African Development Bank (2023) estimates that regulatory compliance costs Nigerian firms an average of 25 percent of their annual turnover, far higher than the African average of 15 percent. These costs are disproportionately borne by small and medium enterprises, which lack the resources to navigate complex regulatory systems or purchase political access.

4.3 Institutional Fragility and Anti-Corruption Failure

Nigeria has created an elaborate anti-corruption infrastructure: the Economic and Financial Crimes Commission (EFCC), the Independent Corrupt Practices and Other Related Offences Commission (ICPC), the Code of Conduct Bureau, and various special tribunals. Yet corruption remains endemic. Transparency International's Corruption Perceptions Index

consistently ranks Nigeria among the most corrupt countries globally, scoring 25 out of 100 in 2023 (where 0 is highly corrupt and 100 is very clean).

The failure is not due to absence of institutions but to their fragility. Anti-corruption agencies lack operational independence, are subject to political interference, are chronically underfunded, and have produced few high-profile convictions of politically exposed persons. The incentives facing public officials reward extraction rather than service delivery: salaries are low, oversight is weak, and the probability of detection and punishment for corruption is vanishingly small.

Institutional fragility extends beyond anti-corruption. The judiciary, while formally independent, is slow, expensive, and vulnerable to influence. The legislature is widely perceived as a site of rent extraction rather than oversight. The civil service has lost technical capacity and professionalism, with merit replaced by patronage as the basis for recruitment and promotion.

4.4 Subnational Fiscal Crisis and State Government Dysfunction

Nigeria's 36 states and 774 local governments are constitutionally responsible for the delivery of basic public services: primary and secondary education, primary healthcare, water, sanitation, and rural roads. Yet many states are fiscally unsustainable, dependent on monthly allocations from the Federation Account for 70-90 percent of their revenue. The five largest states generate more than 50 percent of internally generated revenue, while the smallest generate less than 5 percent.

State-level governance failures produce directly observable development deficits. In states with weak public financial management, teacher and healthcare worker salaries go unpaid for months, schools lack basic materials, and primary health centres operate without medicines or staff. The World Bank (2024c) estimates that improving state government performance to the level of the best-performing states would increase public service access by 40 percent and reduce poverty by 15 percent.

The fiscal crisis is compounded by the structure of federalism itself. The Nigerian federation is highly centralised, with the federal government collecting approximately 75 percent of total government revenue but responsible for only 30 percent of expenditure. States must rely on unpredictable, inadequately funded, and politically manipulated transfers from the Federation Account. This vertical fiscal imbalance creates a "dependency culture" in which states focus on lobbying the federal government for additional allocations rather than on improving internally generated revenue or service delivery efficiency.

4.5 Electoral Dysfunction and Democratic Accountability

Since the return to democratic rule in 1999, Nigeria has conducted seven general elections, each accompanied by procedural improvements and each undermined by persistent problems: vote-buying, voter suppression, violence or the threat of violence, ballot box snatching, result manipulation, and judicial nullification of outcomes. The Electoral Act has been repeatedly amended, the Independent National Electoral Commission (INEC) has introduced technological innovations (card readers, electronic result transmission), but accountability remains weak.

Electoral dysfunction undermines governance in two ways. First, when elections do not reliably produce government by consent, the legitimacy of the state is compromised, and political competition becomes a zero-sum struggle for control of the state's distributive

apparatus. Second, when politicians can secure office through manipulation rather than performance, the electoral sanction—the threat of removal by voters—is disabled, and there is no mechanism to reward good governance or punish bad governance.

The impact on economic transformation is direct. In a context where electoral outcomes depend on mobilising ethnic or religious blocs, buying votes, and deploying state security forces, politicians have no incentive to develop the policy expertise, technical capacity, or long-term planning that structural transformation requires. The political class is selected for skills in mobilisation and distribution rather than for skills in governance and development.

4.6 Security Sector Governance Failure

The security sector governance crisis is the most immediate governance issue Nigeria faces. The state is losing its monopoly on the legitimate use of force across large swathes of territory. Non-state armed groups—Boko Haram in the North-East, bandits in the North-West, separatist militias in the South-East, and communal militias in the Middle Belt—operate with impunity, displacing millions and disrupting economic activity.

The proximate causes of the security crisis are complex, but the underlying governance failure is clear: the security sector has not been effectively governed. The military, police, and intelligence services suffer from chronic underfunding relative to their mandates, corruption that diverts resources intended for equipment and training, and politicisation that subordinates professional imperatives to elite interests. The ratio of police to population (approximately 1:650) is far below the UN recommended standard of 1:450, and police officers are poorly trained and poorly paid, making them vulnerable to bribery and extortion rather than effective law enforcement.

The economic consequences are severe. The International Monetary Fund (2024) estimates that the security crisis reduces Nigeria's GDP by 3-5 percent annually, through the direct costs of military operations, the displacement of agricultural production, the disruption of trade routes, and the deterrent effect on investment. Without security sector governance reform, no amount of economic policy adjustment will produce sustained growth.

5. Governance, Growth, and Development: The Disconnection

5.1 Growth Without Development: Empirical Evidence

The concept of "growth without development" is not merely theoretical abstraction; it is empirically observable in Nigeria's trajectory. Between 2005 and 2015, Nigeria's real GDP per capita grew at an average annual rate of 3.5 percent, faster than many countries that made substantial progress in poverty reduction (Ghana, Rwanda, Ethiopia). Yet poverty reduction was negligible: the poverty headcount fell from 62 percent to 61 percent, representing an actual increase in the number of poor people due to population growth.

Several explanations have been proposed. Milanovic (2015) argues that Nigeria's growth was "pro-rich," with the top 10 percent of the income distribution capturing 80 percent of growth benefits. Fosu (2017) emphasises the concentration of growth in sectors with low employment elasticities (oil, finance, telecommunications), limiting poverty reduction through the labour market. My own analysis adds governance: when growth occurs in sectors that are capital-intensive, protected from competition, and closely linked to the state, governance structures determine who captures benefits.

5.2 The Governance Multiplier

The meta-institution framework suggests a governance multiplier: a 1 percent improvement in governance quality produces a k percent improvement in the productivity of other factors. Empirically estimating this multiplier is challenging, but suggestive evidence is available. Kaufmann, Kraay, and Mastruzzi (2010) found that a one standard deviation improvement in governance quality is associated with a 2.5-3 percentage point increase in annual GDP growth in developing countries. For Nigeria, this implies that improving governance to the median African level would add 1.5-2 percentage points to annual growth.

The multiplier works through several channels. Better governance reduces transaction costs, making it easier for firms to operate, contract, and invest. Better governance increases tax compliance, expanding fiscal space for productive investment. Better governance strengthens property rights, encouraging investment in productive assets. Better governance reduces corruption, redirecting resources from unproductive rent-seeking to productive activity.

5.3 The Political Economy of Growth Accelerations

Rodrik (2007) identified a pattern in growth accelerations across developing countries: most growth accelerations are episodic and short-lived, lasting only 5-7 years before reverting to trend. Sustained growth (two decades or more of above-average performance) is rare and is associated with institutional changes that lock in pro-growth policies and insulate them from political shocks.

Nigeria's 2005-2015 growth acceleration fits the episodic pattern: it was driven by favourable external conditions (high oil prices), debt relief, financial sector consolidation, and telecommunications expansion. It proved unsustainable because these factors did not produce institutional change. When oil prices fell in 2014-2015, the growth acceleration ended, and Nigeria entered recession. The governance structures that enable rent extraction in an oil boom also intensify contraction in an oil bust.

6. Comparative Perspectives: What Successful Transitions Teach

6.1 Indonesia: From Natural Resource Dependency to Diversified Growth

Indonesia offers the most instructive comparison for Nigeria. Like Nigeria, Indonesia is a large, resource-rich, ethnically diverse country with a population of over 250 million. Like Nigeria, Indonesia experienced decades of oil-driven growth with limited structural transformation. Unlike Nigeria, Indonesia has sustained growth acceleration since the 1997 Asian financial crisis, diversifying its economy into manufacturing and services and substantially reducing poverty.

The institutional foundations of Indonesia's transition include: (1) fiscal decentralisation that gave subnational governments autonomy over resource revenues and responsibility for service delivery; (2) a political settlement that balanced competing elite interests through coalitional governance; (3) investment in infrastructure that connected remote regions to economic centres; and (4) gradual but sustained improvements in regulatory quality and anti-corruption enforcement (Hill, 2019).

6.2 Botswana: Resource-Rich Governance Done Right

Botswana is the canonical case of successful natural resource management in Africa. Diamond-rich Botswana achieved high, sustained growth for four decades following independence, translated growth into substantial poverty reduction and human development, and maintained democratic governance without interruption.

Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (2003) attribute Botswana's success to institutional factors: pre-colonial institutions that constrained elite power, a political settlement that gave rural elites veto power over extractive policies, relatively meritocratic civil service recruitment, and transparent management of diamond revenues. Botswana demonstrates that resource wealth is not destiny; governance choices, not natural endowments, determine outcomes.

6.3 Vietnam: From Five Million Tonnes of Rice to One Trillion Dollar Aspiration

Vietnam, with a population of 100 million, transformed from one of the poorest countries in the world in the 1980s to a lower-middle-income country on track to become high-income by 2045. Its growth has been governance-led: the Doi Moi (Renovation) reforms of 1986 replaced central planning with market mechanisms, integrated Vietnam into global value chains, and created a business environment in which private enterprise could flourish.

Vietnam's governance reforms included: (1) simplifying business registration to 1-2 days; (2) investing heavily in education and technical training; (3) building export-processing infrastructure; (4) maintaining macroeconomic stability through conservative fiscal policy; and (5) systematically tackling corruption, including high-profile convictions of senior officials (London, 2019).

6.4 Lessons for Nigeria

These cases suggest several lessons. First, governance reform is politically difficult but technically possible. Second, there is no single governance template; successful reforms are tailored to local political economies. Third, external conditions (commodity prices, aid, foreign investment) are less important than internal governance quality. Fourth, the transition from extractive to developmental governance is typically precipitated by crises that discredit incumbent arrangements and open space for reform coalitions.

7. Conclusion: Reflections On a One Trillion Dollar Economy

7.1 What Kind of One Trillion Dollar Economy?

Nigeria will likely reach a one trillion dollar economy at some point, probably later than 2030 but possibly before 2040. The question that governance analysis forces us to ask is: what kind of one trillion dollar economy will it be?

Scenario A is the extractive enclave: GDP reaches one trillion dollars through oil price recovery, services sector expansion, and population-driven consumption growth. Growth benefits are captured by a narrow elite with political connections. The majority of citizens remain in poverty. Unemployment remains high, especially among youth. Public services remain inadequate. This scenario replicates the existing pattern at a larger scale.

Scenario B is the fragile growth: GDP reaches one trillion dollars episodically, with booms when commodity prices rise and busts when they fall. Growth is volatile and unpredictable, making planning impossible. Each boom is followed by a crisis, eroding institutions and exhausting reform momentum. This scenario repeats Nigeria's post-independence economic history.

Scenario C is developmental transformation: GDP reaches one trillion dollars through diversified growth in agriculture, manufacturing, and high-value services. Growth is labour-intensive, absorbing unemployed and underemployed workers. Productivity gains are shared,

reducing poverty and expanding the middle class. Public services improve as fiscal space expands. This scenario requires governance-led structural transformation.

7.2 Governance as the Binding Constraint

The argument of this article has been that governance is the binding constraint on developmental transformation. The governance deficits identified—fiscal indiscipline, regulatory opacity, institutional fragility, subnational crisis, electoral dysfunction, and security failure—are not peripheral issues that can be addressed after growth is achieved. They are the meta-institutions that determine whether growth translates into development.

Intellectual honesty requires acknowledging that governance reform is politically difficult. The elites who benefit from current arrangements have the power to resist change. Reform may require crisis—economic collapse, security breakdown, popular insurrection—to create conditions for new political settlements. But intellectual reflection also requires recognising that the alternative to reform is not a stable status quo but a trajectory of cumulative decline.

7.3 Towards a Governance-Led Development Agenda

The priority for governance-led development in Nigeria is not more policies or more programmes but institutional redesign. Specific priorities include:

First, fiscal federalism reform that gives states and local governments genuine revenue autonomy, aligns expenditure responsibilities with revenue capacity, and creates competitive pressure for governance improvement. This would require constitutional amendments and a political settlement that balances the interests of producing and non-producing states.

Second, regulatory simplification that reduces the discretionary power of officials and replaces it with transparent, rules-based systems. This includes electronic processing of permits and licenses, single-window clearance for business registration, and automatic approval for routine applications.

Third, anti-corruption institutionalisation that creates operational independence for enforcement agencies, protects whistle-blowers and prosecutors, ensures credible sanctions for corruption (including for politically exposed persons), and aligns incentives through competitive compensation for integrity professionals.

Fourth, electoral integrity reforms that make vote-buying unprofitable (through secret voting and enforcement), reduce the cost of political participation (through state funding and spending limits), and strengthen the electoral sanction (through free media and civic education).

Fifth, security sector governance reform that professionalises the military and police, insulates security institutions from political manipulation, aligns funding with operational requirements, and establishes credible accountability mechanisms for human rights violations.

7.4 Intellectual Reflections

This article has been framed as "intellectual reflections" rather than policy prescriptions for a reason. The relationship between governance and development is not a technical problem with technical solutions; it is a political problem with political solutions, and intellectual work can inform but not substitute for political action.

The one trillion dollar aspiration is useful insofar as it focuses attention on the scale of transformation Nigeria requires. But aspiration without governance reform is delusion. Nigeria's political class must confront an uncomfortable truth: the governance arrangements that served elite interests in an economy of $200-400 billion will not serve those interests—or anyone's interests—in an economy attempting to reach one trillion dollars. The costs of extraction rise with the scale of the economy. The benefits of transformation accrue to all.

Whether Nigeria makes a governance-led transition or continues on its current trajectory will be decided not by intellectuals but by political struggle. What intellectual work can do is clarify the stakes, document the costs of the status quo, articulate alternatives, and maintain pressure for accountability. This article has attempted to do that.

REFERENCES

Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). *Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty*. Crown Business.

Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2003). An African success story: Botswana. In D. Rodrik (Ed.), *In search of prosperity: Analytic narratives on economic growth* (pp. 80-119). Princeton University Press.

African Development Bank. (2023). *African economic outlook 2023*. Abidjan: AfDB.

Bourguignon, F. (2004). The poverty-growth-inequality triangle. *Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations Working Paper*, (125).

Buchanan, J. M., & Tullock, G. (1962). *The calculus of consent: Logical foundations of constitutional democracy*. University of Michigan Press.

Easterly, W. (2001). *The elusive quest for growth: Economists' adventures and misadventures in the tropics*. MIT Press.

Fosu, A. K. (2017). Growth, inequality, and poverty reduction in developing countries: Recent global evidence. *Research in Economics*, 71(2), 306-336.

Hill, H. (2019). *Indonesia's political economy: Governance and growth in the 21st century*. Cambridge University Press.

Hirschman, A. O. (1958). *The strategy of economic development*. Yale University Press.

International Monetary Fund. (2024). *Nigeria: Selected issues*. IMF Country Report No. 24/102. Washington, DC: IMF.

Kaufmann, D., Kraay, A., & Mastruzzi, M. (2010). The worldwide governance indicators: Methodology and analytical issues. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (5430).

Khan, M. H. (2010). Political settlements and the governance of growth-enhancing institutions. *SOAS Working Paper*.

Krueger, A. O. (1974). The political economy of the rent-seeking society. *American Economic Review*, 64(3), 291-303.

London, J. (2019). *Vietnam's development trajectory: From economic renovation to sustainable growth*. Brookings Institution Press.

Mehlum, H., Moene, K., & Torvik, R. (2006). Institutions and the resource curse. *Economic Journal*, 116(508), 1-20.

Milanovic, B. (2015). *Global inequality: A new approach for the age of globalization*. Harvard University Press.

National Bureau of Statistics. (2024). *Nigerian gross domestic product report: Q4 2023*. Abuja: NBS.

North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change and economic performance*. Cambridge University Press.

Rodrik, D. (2007). *One economics, many recipes: Globalization, institutions, and economic growth*. Princeton University Press.

Sachs, J. D., & Warner, A. M. (2001). The curse of natural resources. *European Economic Review*, 45(4-6), 827-838.

Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1998). *The grabbing hand: Government pathologies and their cures*. Harvard University Press.

Transparency International. (2024). *Corruption perceptions index 2023*. Berlin: Transparency International.

World Bank. (2024a). *Nigeria economic update: Resilience through reform*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2024b). *World development indicators 2024*. Washington, DC: World Bank.

World Bank. (2024c). *State fiscal transparency, accountability and sustainability program: Nigeria report*. Washington, DC: World Bank